

1 E. Zerhouni

2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

3 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

4 No. 15 Civ. 08725 (GBD)

5  
6  
7 

---

UMB BANK, N.A., as Trustee, )  
8 Plaintiff, )  
9 v. )  
10 SANOFI, )  
11 Defendant. )  
12 

---

13  
14  
15  
16 DEPOSITION OF DR. ELIAS ZERHOUNI

17 Baltimore, Maryland

18 November 7, 2018

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24 Reported by: M. Payonk

25 Job No. 150664

1 E. Zerhouni

2 to the CEO of Sanofi for R&D issues that he  
3 wanted me to advise him on in March or April of  
4 2009.

5 Q. And then when did you formally join  
6 Sanofi?

7 A. I formally joined Sanofi as an  
8 employee of Sanofi on January 1, 2011.

9 Q. And in what position did you join --

10 A. I was the --

11 Q. -- Sanofi?

12 A. -- president of global R&D.

13 Q. And could you briefly describe what  
14 your job responsibilities were at that time?

15 A. Essentially, to be the corporate  
16 officer for research and development for the  
17 company.

18 Under research and development,  
19 medical affairs also reported to research and  
20 development as well as regulatory affairs.

21 Q. And at the time you joined, did you  
22 become a member of what was known as the COMEX?

23 A. Yes. The COMEX is the French acronym  
24 for executive committee.

25 Q. Correct. And that's a committee

1 E. Zerhouni

2 A. Then in -- in September-October,  
3 there is a reconciliation of all of these  
4 budgeted proposals that come then to the CEO.  
5 And at the end of the year in December the  
6 final budget is approved by the CEO and the  
7 board.

8 So I -- I'm sorry to go through these  
9 details because if you are external to that you  
10 will tend to think that the June proposal is  
11 the real budget. The June proposal is a  
12 wished-for budget which is then -- then  
13 arbitrated according to the requirements of the  
14 company, which is also in line with your  
15 long-range plan, which is modified that year  
16 for an extra year.

17 So when you looked at the long-range  
18 strategic plan for R&D as you mentioned, the  
19 goal was to keep the total envelope flat or  
20 slightly declining, not just R&D. R&D, medical  
21 affairs, regulatory, all of it.

22 And then when you came to the -- the  
23 plan for that year, you make proposals and you  
24 prioritize and you say it's really important  
25 for me to do more of this, less of that, I want

1 E. Zerhouni

2 to cut this, I want to augment that.

3 And then the totality of that is then  
4 reconciled by the CFO for the -- for the CEO as  
5 to exactly what will be presented to the board  
6 and finally acted upon by the board, which is  
7 then the -- the official budget for the coming  
8 year.

9 Sorry to go into a very long  
10 presentation to you but I noted that, indeed,  
11 it's arcane sometimes for outsiders, and it's  
12 important to understand how it's done.

13 Q. I -- I think understand the Sanofi  
14 budgeting process pretty well.

15 A. You probably do.

16 Q. So, for example, the process that you  
17 described includes something called a preread;  
18 correct?

19 A. Preread is a mechanism by which we  
20 inform all members of a team with  
21 predocument -- preread document so that they  
22 can be ready for the conversation at the  
23 meeting.

24 Q. And in prereading, there's often  
25 specific instructions given that are

1 E. Zerhouni

2 A. I mean, to me, Chris told me the most  
3 important thing was to maintain the viability  
4 of Genzyme and its programs and do every effort  
5 to make sure that that -- that that acquisition  
6 turned into a success, not a failure. And  
7 Lemtrada was part of it so we had always --

8 Q. Okay, okay.

9 A. -- considered that.

10 Q. Thank you for your answer.

11 Were you given any specific  
12 instructions as to what the obligation of  
13 Genzyme was with respect to using diligent  
14 efforts to meet certain milestones as they  
15 related to Lemtrada?

16 A. Legally? No. Specifically? No.  
17 The only thing I was told was to make every  
18 reasonable effort to make sure that the -- the  
19 programs that were transferred were successful  
20 and that the Genzyme enterprise was successful  
21 in terms of its integration. So do not disturb  
22 anything that could be jeopardized, including  
23 Lemtrada in particular.

24 Q. Okay. But no instructions were given  
25 about how to treat allocation of resources to

1 E. Zerhouni

2 Lemtrada specifically?

3 A. Basically, what -- what I knew was to  
4 make absolutely clear that this was a priority  
5 that will have to be looked at in the context  
6 of a global R&D to the same extent of diligence  
7 and effort that we would do for any molecule.

8 Q. Okay. But nothing specific to  
9 Lemtrada?

10 A. Only in the conversation with Chris  
11 Viehbacher, and he sent me emails and -- that  
12 he basically said I'm really, really, really  
13 focused on making sure that the Genzyme  
14 acquisition is done smoothly, that we don't  
15 smother that company, it's a biotech company,  
16 and Lemtrada was cited as part of the  
17 priorities for --

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. -- that.

20 Q. Okay.

21 A. So we had a set of priorities for  
22 making sure that Genzyme -- the Genzyme  
23 acquisition would be successful and in  
24 particular, amongst many other instructions,  
25 Lemtrada was part of it.

1 E. Zerhouni

2 A. Yeah.

3 Q. -- you indicate to him ultimately, if  
4 you really believe in this, you can appeal and  
5 Chris Viehbacher can arbitrate; right?

6 A. Yeah, that is correct.

7 Q. And you go on to say that meantime,  
8 we follow the rules he and Jerome outlined.

9 Do you see that?

10 A. No, I don't. Show me again. What  
11 line?

12 Q. Same thing. It's in the second  
13 paragraph of your email of October 2012.

14 See that?

15 A. After all the budget's done and you  
16 want to appeal. By Chris, I certainly will  
17 understand. In the meantime, we follow the  
18 rules he and Jerome outlined, yes.

19 Q. So basically, Jerome Contamine, CFO,  
20 and Chris Viehbacher, CEO, had set some pretty  
21 clear rules about what should happen with the  
22 budget. And the deal at the time was follow  
23 the rules. If you don't agree with it,  
24 ultimately you can take it up personally with  
25 Chris Viehbacher in a form known as an

1 E. Zerhouni

2 arbitration; correct?

3 A. That's correct. In other words, what  
4 I'm saying is, you know, look, I'm -- my hands  
5 are tied. I don't have an infinite amount of  
6 monies to give you. They are defined by Jerome  
7 and Chris, and each -- within each perimeter  
8 you have to be following those rules which  
9 again relate to the LRP, the budget request,  
10 the guidance, and -- and so forth.

11 Q. If you go to the fifth paragraph of  
12 your email back of October 2012 --

13 A. Uh-huh.

14 Q. -- it says "In terms of medical  
15 management." You see where I am?

16 A. Yeah.

17 Q. And it says: "Given the delay in  
18 filing Lemtrada, I think you could easily  
19 reduce that portion significantly and request  
20 that you do so out of this RD budget."

21 Do you see that?

22 A. Yeah.

23 Q. What are you referring to here?

24 A. Okay, so timing, right? So start at  
25 beginning. In terms of medical management,



1 E. Zerhouni

2 Q. So sorry. I'll withdraw the  
3 question. Previously, you said one way you  
4 might get a negative signal is that the  
5 patients don't accumulate enough disability at  
6 your point of measurement.

7 A. That is correct.

8 Q. Here what you're saying is even if  
9 they actually did develop disability because  
10 the Rebif arm did better than we thought, on a  
11 hazard ratio basis you might get a lack of  
12 statistical significance; correct?

13 A. That is correct. So just to make it  
14 very straight so that everybody understands,  
15 all right, when you do a trial -- do you mind?

16 Q. No, please.

17 A. Okay. So when you do a trial, you're  
18 comparing the slope of separation. So theory  
19 number 1 is that the slope is -- is not large;  
20 and therefore, it takes time to see the  
21 results. Where the other is that the  
22 comparative arm, instead of being here, it's  
23 here. And the slope is good, but you have a  
24 difference between the starting points of the  
25 two.

1 E. Zerhouni

2 THE WITNESS: November '17 no.

3 MR. WEISS: But then I'm going to  
4 go backwards.

5 THE WITNESS: Okay.

6 BY MR. WEISS:

7 Q. So I show you what's been marked for  
8 identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit 659.

9 Do you recognize it?

10 A. Uh-huh.

11 Q. And what is it?

12 A. These are minutes of an IDC, in  
13 particular, the development council, which is  
14 the decision-making body for --

15 Q. And --

16 A. -- for pushing forward programs.

17 Q. And this is fairly recent; correct?

18 A. November '17 is about eight months,  
19 10 months.

20 Q. When did you leave Sanofi?

21 A. July 1, 2018.

22 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]